REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the careful consideration given to this application. Reconsideration is now respectfully requested in view of the amendments above and the following remarks.

Claims 164 -168, 170-174, 176-180, 182-186, 188-192, 194, 196-199, 201 – 213, and 216-219 are pending in this application. Claims 166, 170, 176, 182, 188, 190, 194, 198, 201, 203, 205-208, 210, 213, 216, 218, and 221 have been amended herein without prejudice to correct typographical errors. Claims 1-163, 169, 175, 181, 187, 193, 195, 200, 214, 215, and 220 have been cancelled.

The pending claims find support in the specification as follows:

Claim	<u>Support</u>
164	Column 1, lines 30-44; column 2, lines 35-38, lines 60-67
165	Column 1, lines 45-47; column 2, lines 37-42
166	Column 1, lines 45-47; column 2, lines 45-50
167	Column 2, line 63 – column 3, line 7
168	Column 2, line 60 – column 3, line 7
170	Figs. 1A,1B,1C; Column 4, line 38 – column 5, line 23
171	Fig. 1B; Column 2, lines 37-42
172	Column 2, lines 45-50
173	Fig. 1D; Column 5, lines 23-35
174	Fig. 1D; Column 5, lines 19-22
176	Fig. 2A, 2B, column 10, lines 25-55
177	Fig. 1B; Column 2, lines 37-42
178	Column 2, lines 45-50
179	Fig. 1D; Column 2, line 60 – column 3, line 19
180	Fig. 1D; Column 3, lines 6-11
182	Column 8, line 64 – column 10, line 4
183	Fig. 1B; Column 2, lines 37-42
184	Column 2, lines 45-50
185	Fig. 1D; Column 2, line 60 – column 3, line 19

186	Fig. 1D; Column 3, lines 6-11
188	Column 6, line 16 – column 8, line 17
189	Fig. 1B; Column 2, lines 37-42
190	Column 2, lines 45-50
191	Fig. 1D; Column 2, line 60 – column 3, line 19
192	Fig. 1D; Column 3, lines 6-11
194	Column 2, lines 22-47
196	Column 1, lines 30-44, column 2, lines 35-38, 60-67
197	Fig. 1B; Column 1, lines 45-46, column 2, lines 37-42
198	Column 1, lines 45-47, column 2, lines 45-50
199	Fig. 1D; Column 2, line 63 – column 3, line 7
201	Column 4, line 38 – column 5, line 23
202	Fig. 1B; Column 2, lines 37-42
203	Column 2, lines 45-50
204	Fig. 1D; Column 5, lines 23-35
205	Column 5, lines 19-22
206	Figs. 2A, 2B, column 10, lines 25-55
207	Fig. 1B; Column 2, lines 37-42
208	Column 2, lines 45-50
209	Fig. 1D; Column 2, line 60 – column 3, line 19
210	Fig. 1D; Column 3, lines 6-11
211	Column 8, line 64 – column 10, line 4
212	Fig. 1B; Column 2, lines 37-42
213	Column 2, lines 45-50
216	Column 6, line 16 – column 8, line 17
217	Fig. 1B; Column 2, lines 37-42
218	Column 2, lines 45-50
219	Fig. 1D; Column 3, lines 6-11
221	Column 2, line 22 – column 2, line 47

NON-COMPLIANCE WITH 37 C.F.R. 1.173(c)

The 9/13/2010 amendment submission failed to comply with 37 C.F.R. 1.173(c). Applicant submits that the current amendments and remarks are in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.173(c).

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §251

Claims 164-199, 201-213, 216-219, and 221 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration. Applicant acknowledges that a supplemental reissue declaration may be needed. According to MPEP 1414, the supplemental declaration needs to be submitted only before allowance. Therefore, Applicant respectfully elects to postpone the filing of any supplemental declaration until all other grounds of rejection have been removed, and the subject application is otherwise in condition for allowance.

The undersigned believes no extensions or fees are due with this filing. However, if an additional extension is needed or a fee is due, please consider this a request therefor and charge Deposit Account No. 03-2775, under Order No. 05525-00003-US1, from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: December 27, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

Electronic signature: /DMMorse/

David M. Morse

Registration No.: 50,505

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP 1007 North Orange Street P. O. Box 2207 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207 (302) 658-9141 (302) 658-5614 (Fax) Attorneys for Applicant